

ALMOST TO THE END

After playing 2 stanza of 16 boards in Quarter finals, only 4 teams still playing at the Semi Finals,

After the draw, AIA plays SHENZHEN Ke Duo Man and Guangzhou Pearl River will plays Shenzhen Team.

First of the 3 Stanza, Shenzhen Ke Duo Man leads AIA with 57 – 31 imp, 26 imp ahead, but still 2 Stanza to play, Guangzhou Pearl River leads Shenzhen Team with 33 – 23 imp.

Youth series already completed their Semi Finals, Central beats IPS Gold 174,5 – 88 imp and NTU-EECS beats IPS Silver 145 – 69 imp, tomorrow Central will play NTU-EECS on finals.

Don't forget this morning HKES Swiss Team is waiting for you to participate, starting at 11.00 am and in the evening at 7.30 pm Geely AutoMobile Open Pairs Qualifying will starts.

Remember always enjoy your bridge game here in HK Intercity 2007.







二零零七年摩根大通城市橋牌錦標賽 JPMorgan Inter-city Bridge Championships 2007





AIA Open Team Championship 友邦公開隊制錦標賽

Today's Schedule

10:00 - 12:15	Open Teams SF2 & Youth Teams F1 公開組/青年組決賽圈
13:30 - 15:45	Open Teams SF3 & Youth Teams F2 公開組/青年組決賽圈
16:15 - 18:30	Open Teams F1 & Youth Teams F3 公開組/青年組決賽圈
11:00 - 18:00	HKES Swiss Team HKES瑞士式隊際賽
19:30 - 23:30	Geely Automobile Open Pairs Qualifying 吉利汽車公開雙人賽初賽

Vu-graph (1 September 2007)

10:00	廣州珠江隊 v 深圳橋友隊
13:30	AIA v 深圳科曼多隊
16:15	To be determined

Vu-graph matches will be shown live at the Break-out Area



JPMorgan Inter-city Bridge Championships 2007

3

AIA Open Team Play-off Results

		Team	C/O	Segi	nent	Total
		Team		1	2	Total
QF1	01	深圳橋友隊		43	34	77
	07	沈陽聖雨田		32	8	40

	Team		C/O	Segr	Total	
		Team		1	2	Total
QF2	04	上海益通		11	18	29
	05	AIA		29	49	78

	Team		C/O	Segr	Total	
		Team	0	1	2	
QF3	02	廣州珠江隊		73	69	142
	06	JETOX		17	26	43

	Team		C/0	Seg	ment	Sudden	Total
		Team		1	2	Death	Total
QF4	03	Dhampur Sugar		30	44	0	74
	08	深圳科曼多隊		29	45	7	81

		Team	C/O		Segment		Total
	ream			1	2	3	Total
SF1	01	深圳橋友隊		23			23
	02	廣州珠江隊		33			33

SF2	Team	C/0		Total		
	Team		1	2	3	Total
	O5 AIA		31			31
	O8 深圳科曼多隊		57			57

	Team	C/0		Seg	ment		Total
	Team	C/O	1	2	3	4	Total
F							0
Ĩ							0



JPMorgan Inter-city Bridge Championships 2007

Inter-city Ladies Team Play-off Results

		Teem	С/О	Seg	nent	Total
F		Team C/O	0	1	2	Total
r	L1	深圳女隊	6	50	77	133
	L2	林真紀子		21	22	43

JPMorgan Inter-city Bridge Championships 2007

President's Cup Youth Team Play-off Results

SEI		Team	C/O		Segment		Total
		Team		1	2	3	- Total
SFI	¥1	正	6.5	38	40	90	174.5
	¥4	IPS Gold		9	34	45	88

C.F.A	Team		C/O		Segment		Total
		Team		1	2	3	Total
SF2	¥2	IPS Silver	12	11	26	20	69
	¥3	NTU-EECS		44	58	43	145

	Team		С/О	Segment			Total
P	Team		1	2	3	Total	
r	¥1	正					0
	¥3	NTU-EECS					0



2 33 3: 31

JPMorgan Inter-city Bridge Championships 2007 二零零七年摩根大通城市橋牌錦標賽



AIA Open Team Plate Qualifying Round

1	Shenzhen Ladies	123
2	Ra Ma Ra Him	119
3	Dhampur Sugar	109
4	Ghost Of Red	104
5	Naito	102
6	Jetox	101
7	Initial F	100
8	Tai Cang Jin Hui	99.5
9	JC	98
10	Tokyo Bunta	97
11	Shanghai Jia Hua	96
12	SARA	95
13	HK Jonathan	94
14	China Dragon	90
15	Tin Fok	90
16	Skypen	90
17	Ambassador	87
18	Commune	87
19	Philippines	84
20	Dragon Cartoon	82
21	Lucky Break	80
22	Richman	76
23	Supernatural	74
24	Okuno	73
25	Oriental Express	60
26	WinWin	43





	Table 檯號						
Round 澄	Open				Ladies	Yo	uth
	1 (VG)	2	3	4	5	Y1	Y2

1 September

1 (10:00)	02 - 01	05 - 08	Y1 - Y3	
2 (13:30)	05 - 08	02 - 01	Y3 - Y1	
3 (16:15)	0-0		Y3 - Y1	

2 September

1 (10:00)	
2 (13:30)	
3 (16:15)	

Y1	正	¥3	NTU-EECS
05	AIA	08	深圳科曼多隊
01	深圳橋友隊	02	廣州珠江隊



San Miguel "Happy Hour" Continuous Pairs

31/8/2007

oun	inguer nappy nour continuous	uno					011012001	
Pair	Players			Percentag			Best 4	Rank
	100 A 20 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	Sess 1	Sess 2	Sess 3	Sess 4	Sess 5	V2. 2014 10.000	T COLLEC
	Jien Chen, Liu Song	72.78	86.67	68.61	75.00	46.11	303.06	1
	Wilson Leung, Yiu Wai Sing	46.67	76.39	91.11	59.44	74.17	301.11	2
9	Strong Huang, Albert Hsiao	68.89	69.17	65.56	73.61	51.94	277.23	3
70	Dave Pang, KH Lau	41.11	48.89	59.44	82.78	68.89	260.00	4
	Shanfeng Dong, Tong Jiang	60.83	65.83	61.94	46.94	66.94	255.54	5
	Mazhiwei, Yu Shu Rong	59.44	40.83	55.56	66.39	71.11	252.50	6
	Henry Wong, KF Mak	71.11	65.00	44.17	45.56	68.33	250.00	7
	Perry Shek, Sam Lau	72.50	41.94	57.22	55.28	59.17	244.17	8
7	Leo Lam, Phoebe Chang	36.94	58.33	76.94	56.11	52.50	243.88	9
	Nick Fung, Arthur Lau	61.39	66.67	24.44	40.83	73.06	241.95	10
	Sagari Singh, RitsaSpaeth	25.56	68.61	70.28	59.44	42.78	241.11	11
	Zeng Xian Ming, Li Yong Chuan	72.22	45.83	65.28	46.39	54.17	238.06	12
44	Housman Chan, Simon Chan	36.94	43.33	53.33	70.28	66.39	233.33	13
	Mick Yeung, Wong Ching Ho	41.67	34.17	45.56	69.44	75.56	232.23	14
50	Kenneth Wong, WH Fok	51.39	58.33	50.83	63.61	57.22	230.55	15
13	Jason Chu, Ray Cheung	52.22	47.50	29.17	64.44	63.06	227.22	16
57	Antony Mok, Emma Cheung	62.78	63.89	40.28	45.83	54.17	226.67	17
	Jehran Chua, Nopot Carreon	53.61	55.00	35.56	64.72	53.06	226.39	18
	LT Pang, Tiffany Tse	51.67	66.11	61.11	47.22	41.11	226.11	19
	Yi Shuo Hua, Wu Yiang Nan	45.28	72.78	39.17	34.44	68.61	225.84	20
	Jackson Lai, Harold Lai	65.56	54.44	60.56	45.28	45.28	225.84	21
	Robert Zajac, Roger Choi	64.17	70.28	41.11	41.94	49.44	225.83	22
	Amy So, Satoshi Imai	50.28	58.33	50.56	54.72	60.83	224.44	23
14	Carola Hoogervorst, Andre Korenhof	55.56	48.89	45.83	65.28	53.89	223.62	24
26	YC Lai, LH Chin	60.83	53.06	34.44	59.72	50.00	223.61	25
3	Sukon Hung, Wong Kon	50.83	56.94	48.61	47.22	64.44	220.82	26
	Jeff Lik, Fu Cheung	51.67	54.72	43.33	70.00	36.39	219.72	27
	Xiaofeng Guo, Zhengtao Yan	57.22	49.17	49.72	40.00	59.72	215.83	28
	Yuki Homma, Keisuke Kito	37.50	60.56	38.61	57.50	57.78	214.45	29
	Kwok Kwong Chung, Wong Tak Chun	51.94	44.17	80.00	38.33	21.94	214.44	30
6	Michael Lam, Andy KO Leung	43.61	65.83	49.72	51.94	46.94	214.43	31
	Gu Xue hai, Wang Hui Jun	29.72	43.61	54.17	54.72	60.83	213.33	32
	Kevin Siu, Hilary Yang	51.94	30.00	62.50	37.22	60.28	211.94	33
	WC Li, IL Leong	40.83	68.06	50.83	39.17	50.83	210.55	34
30	Dominic Lee, Kent Leung	67.22	63.89	44.44	16.39	33.33	208.88	35
	Manvin Mamanl, Kelvin Yim	36.67	50.28	66.67	26.67	54.72	208.34	36
	Sunny Lam, Sunny Mo	57.78	38.61	61.67	26.39	50.00	208.06	37
	Wang Yan Zhen, Liu Su Yun	55.00	54.44	41.11	57.22	41.39	208.05	38
	Shirley Hui, Ringo Lee	54.72	36.39	58.33	52.22	40.56	205.83	39
	Himmy Ng, Bubble Ho	65.28	45.56	28.61	65.83	24.17	205.28	40
11	SH Yu, Edward Wong	44.44	66.67	37.50	23.89	56.11	203.20	40
	Mylene Encontro, John Frederic Teodosio	55.83						41
4	Keiko Lee, Akemi Sasaki	51.39	34.17 31.94	51.94	44.72	50.56	203.05	42
	Chen Ying, Lou Xin Cheng		50.83	51.39	66.11 41.11	32.78	201.67	43
5		40.56		48.61		61.11	201.66	44
29	Terence Mak, Jackie Liu	50.83	52.78	42.50	34.72	49.72	195.83	
1	Samme Lee, Kishin Chainani	58.61	36.94	62.22	25.83	38.06	195.83	46
	Bacon Lam, SY Ho	42.22	42.50	46.39	51.94	54.17	195.00	47
	Lo Wing Ho,KH Wang	37.22	61.94	31.67	50.28	45.56	195.00	48
17	David Ip, Paladin Chan	48.61	28.33 33.33	46.11 45.56	53.61 47.78	46.11	194.44	49
49				11 5 5 5 5	4//8	50.28	193.06	50
	Stephen Lee, Peter Wong	49.44						E 4
E 4	Frank Lin, Lawrence Lau	43.61	30.83	51.67	58.61	38.61	192.50	51
51	Frank Lin, Lawrence Lau Zoe Li, Abby Chiu	43.61 54.72	30.83 40.00	51.67 58.33	58.61 35.56	38.61 24.44	192.50 188.61	52
58	Frank Lin, Lawrence Lau Zoe Li, Abby Chiu Jian Qian He, Xiao Qiang Liu	43.61 54.72 46.94	30.83 40.00 33.06	51.67 58.33 45.00	58.61 35.56 48.33	38.61	192.50 188.61 188.33	52 53
58 68	Frank Lin, Lawrence Lau Zoe Li, Abby Chiu Jian Qian He, Xiao Qiang Liu David Mok, Raiu Ramchandani	43.61 54.72 46.94 38.61	30.83 40.00 33.06 48.33	51.67 58.33 45.00 35.56	58.61 35.56 48.33 62.78	38.61 24.44 48.06	192.50 188.61 188.33 185.28	52 53 54
58 68 31	Frank Lin, Lawrence Lau Zoe Li, Abby Chiu Jian Qian He, Xiao Qiang Liu David Mok, Raiu Ramchandani Felipe Manalang, Suena Manalang	43.61 54.72 46.94 38.61 44.17	30.83 40.00 33.06 48.33 29.44	51.67 58.33 45.00 35.56 46.11	58.61 35.56 48.33 62.78 43.89	38.61 24.44 48.06 44.44	192.50 188.61 188.33 185.28 178.61	52 53 54 55
58 68 31 28	Frank Lin, Lawrence Lau Zoe Li, Abby Chiu Jian Qian He, Xiao Qiang Liu David Mok, Raiu Ramchandani Felipe Manalang, Suena Manalang Lance Chan, Kongo Kong	43.61 54.72 46.94 38.61 44.17 23.61	30.83 40.00 33.06 48.33 29.44 29.44	51.67 58.33 45.00 35.56 46.11 47.50	58.61 35.56 48.33 62.78 43.89 37.22	38.61 24.44 48.06 44.44 62.22	192.50 188.61 188.33 185.28 178.61 176.38	52 53 54 55 56
58 68 31 28 19	Frank Lin, Lawrence Lau Zoe Li, Abby Chiu Jian Qian He, Xiao Qiang Liu David Mok, Raiu Ramchandani Felipe Manalang, Suena Manalang Lance Chan, Kongo Kong Jacky Chan, William Chan	43.61 54.72 46.94 38.61 44.17 23.61 38.06	30.83 40.00 33.06 48.33 29.44 29.44 32.78	51.67 58.33 45.00 35.56 46.11 47.50 48.61	58.61 35.56 48.33 62.78 43.89 37.22 48.33	38.61 24.44 48.06 44.44 62.22 38.06	192.50 188.61 188.33 185.28 178.61 176.38 173.06	52 53 54 55 56 57
58 68 31 28 19 23	Frank Lin, Lawrence Lau Zoe Li, Abby Chiu Jian Qian He, Xiao Qiang Liu David Mok, Raiu Ramchandani Felipe Manalang, Suena Manalang Lance Chan, Kongo Kong Jacky Chan, William Chan Stephen Yip, TW Lau	43.61 54.72 46.94 38.61 44.17 23.61 38.06 41.11	30.83 40.00 33.06 48.33 29.44 29.44 32.78 46.39	51.67 58.33 45.00 35.56 46.11 47.50 48.61 54.44	58.61 35.56 48.33 62.78 43.89 37.22 48.33 27.22	38.61 24.44 48.06 44.44 62.22 38.06 27.50	192.50 188.61 188.33 185.28 178.61 176.38 173.06 169.44	52 53 54 55 56 57 58
58 68 31 28 19 23 2	Frank Lin, Lawrence Lau Zoe Li, Abby Chiu Jian Qian He, Xiao Qiang Liu David Mok, Raiu Ramchandani Felipe Manalang, Suena Manalang Lance Chan, Kongo Kong Jacky Chan, William Chan Stephen Yip, TW Lau Peter Pang, Tony Wu	43.61 54.72 46.94 38.61 44.17 23.61 38.06 41.11 42.78	30.83 40.00 33.06 48.33 29.44 29.44 32.78 46.39 39.44	51.67 58.33 45.00 35.56 46.11 47.50 48.61 54.44 28.61	58.61 35.56 48.33 62.78 43.89 37.22 48.33 27.22 50.56	38.61 24.44 48.06 44.44 62.22 38.06 27.50 35.83	192.50 188.61 188.33 185.28 178.61 176.38 173.06 169.44 168.61	52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59
58 68 31 28 19 23 2 2 21	Frank Lin, Lawrence Lau Zoe Li, Abby Chiu Jian Qian He, Xiao Qiang Liu David Mok, Raiu Ramchandani Felipe Manalang, Suena Manalang Lance Chan, Kongo Kong Jacky Chan, William Chan Stephen Yip, TW Lau Peter Pang, Tony Wu Sam Liu, Ezra Law	43.61 54.72 46.94 38.61 44.17 23.61 38.06 41.11 42.78 36.67	30.83 40.00 33.06 48.33 29.44 29.44 32.78 46.39 39.44 26.94	51.67 58.33 45.00 35.56 46.11 47.50 48.61 54.44 28.61 38.06	58.61 35.56 48.33 62.78 43.89 37.22 48.33 27.22 50.56 47.22	38.61 24.44 48.06 44.44 62.22 38.06 27.50 35.83 43.33	192.50 188.61 188.33 185.28 178.61 176.38 173.06 169.44 168.61 165.28	52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60
58 68 31 28 19 23 2 2 21	Frank Lin, Lawrence Lau Zoe Li, Abby Chiu Jian Qian He, Xiao Qiang Liu David Mok, Raiu Ramchandani Felipe Manalang, Suena Manalang Lance Chan, Kongo Kong Jacky Chan, William Chan Stephen Yip, TW Lau Peter Pang, Tony Wu	43.61 54.72 46.94 38.61 44.17 23.61 38.06 41.11 42.78	30.83 40.00 33.06 48.33 29.44 29.44 32.78 46.39 39.44	51.67 58.33 45.00 35.56 46.11 47.50 48.61 54.44 28.61	58.61 35.56 48.33 62.78 43.89 37.22 48.33 27.22 50.56	38.61 24.44 48.06 44.44 62.22 38.06 27.50 35.83	192.50 188.61 188.33 185.28 178.61 176.38 173.06 169.44 168.61	52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59

SANTA ACE By Munawar S.

Holly peoples are always sacrifice their lifes other people, we call them SANTA.

If you sacrifice your Ace to defeat the contract, can we call it SANTA ACE?

This hand was reported from Roger Lee one of my best Hongkong bridge friends. Open Team Plate session 5, Naito vs Tin Fok; Board 8, love all West deals.



S. AQ H. K6 D. KJ105 C. K10982	N W E S	S. 96543 H. A432 D. 93 C. 42
	S. 102 H. 1097 D. AQ4 C. QJ1093	

BIDDING:

NORTH	EAST	SOUTH	WEST
1D	DBL	Р	3NT
All pass			

THE PLAY;


West leads DJ, 2,9,Q, declarer play CQ,6,7,4, next S10,A,7,3. West play back D10,K,3,4, from dummy play H5,3,10,K, West clear his D and East discards S4. Now declarer his S2,Q,K,5 and cash CA,2,3,5 than play HQ,2 7,6. Play H8,4,9 C8, now declarer get end to give entry for West to cash

his 2 Tricks in Diamond.

Can you see , East sacrifice his ${\sf H}$ Ace to defeat this 3NT.

No wonder East is one of our Far East bridge star,C.H. KUO









FIRST STANZA OF QUALIFYING ROUNDS

PEARL RIVER VS JETOX, since they finish second top from the group winners, Pearl River from Guangzhou has a right to choose opponent at the second chance, and they picked JETOX for the quarter final.

OPEN ROOM	CLOSED ROOM
N Yang Xiao	N John Yeh
S Ma zhi Wei	S Jeff Tsai
E Jackie Chen	E Gu X.H
W Jess Sun	W Li J.W

Board 1, good start for JETOX they played 3NT+1 in the Open Room and allowed to play 2S-2 undouble, 8 imp for JETOX.

Board 2, Closed Room JETOX played 4H-1, Open Room Pearl River stopped in 3H, but well defended by JETOX EW Pair to equalized down 1, no score, still 0 - 8 imp.

Board 3, JETOX gained another 1 imp when John Yeh made a good guess in D, 3NT+1, now 0 - 9 imp, looks like good start for JETOX.

Board 4, combination of 32 HCP from EWn no chance to make 6NT, Closed Room Pearl River stopped in 4NT+1 and Open Room JETOX went down 1 in 6NT, 13 imp to Pearl River, took the lead 13 - 9 imp.

Board 5, chance to get 6 imp disappeared when Jeff Tsai misplayed 2H in the Closed Room, should draw trump 2 times before play C, down 1 minus 100, Open Room JETOX made 2S plus 110, no score, still 13 – 9 imp.

Board 6, Open Room Pearl River in 5D made and Closed Room JETOX in 3NT, no imp here. Board 7, Open Room made 6NT but Closed Room JETOX in a Grand, 7D missed DQ down 1, 17 imp for Pearl River, now 30 – 11 imp. By Munawar S.

Board 8, JETOX gained 2 imp, Open Room they played 3S+1 and Closed Room 4H X down 1 not vul, now 30 - 11.

Board 9, pushed, both they were in 4S made. Board 10, another 9 imp to Pearl River, they played 5C+1 in the Closed Room and their team mates were in 3HX-1, now 39 - 11 imp.

Board 11, Open Room Pearl River got double in 3S down 1, Closed Room JETOX bid 3S and made, 6 imp to JETOX, now 39 – 17.

Board 12, JETOX overbid 4H-2 and Closed Room Pearl River stopped nicely in 2H made, 5 imp to Pearl River, now 44 - 17 imp.

Board 13, again JETOX overbid 6C-2 in the Open Room, Pearl River only bid 4S and made 12 tricks, 13 imp, now Pearl River increased their lead, 57 - 17 imp.

Board 14, plus 1 imp to Pearl River they made 1 overtrick in 4S, now 58 – 17 imp.

Board 15, swing of 14 imp to Pearl River, they got 800 from doubling 3S-4 and other room, JETOX played 6D-1, Now 72 – 17 imp.

Board 16, another 1 imp to Pearl River, they made 2S and opponent made 2D in the other room.

FINAL SCORE AFTER 1ST STANZA, Pearl River leads JETOX 73 – 17 imp, looks the match will be finish here.





SUDDEN DEATH KICKED DHAMPUR SUGAR

In soccer we know there will be penalty kick after the Two Team draw even after extra time. Here in Bridge Play Offs we use SUDDEN DEATH to replace penalty kick.

Dhampur Sugar from India had 2 choices for the play offs, either AIA team or Shenzen Ke Duo Man, of course they preferred to play Shenzen team rather than AIA team.

The 1st stanza, the score was very close, 30 - 29 for Dhampur Sugar, but the 2nd stanza much more excited, now Shenzen Ke Duo Man won by 1 imp, 45 - 44 imp, total score after 2 stanza, draw 74 - 74 imp.

Sudden Death become their judge now, they have to play 2 boards, each 1 board at the table, they played board no 17 and 18.

Shenzen Ke Duo Man sitting as Home and Dhampur Sugar sitting as Visiting.

OPEN ROOM	CLOSED ROOM
N Dong Ming	N Pritish Kushari
S Zhu Li	S Debasish Roy
E Kamal Kumar Roy	E Yu Zhen Hai
W Aloke Kumar Sadhu	W Li Zi Gang

Board 17;

S.	A64
Η.	AJ85
D.	2
С.	A1982

S. Q932	Ν		S. K107	
H. 74 D. KJ53 C. 1063	74 KJ53 W E 1063 S	E S	H. 632 D. 109876 C. K5	
	Н. D.	J85 KQ109 AQ4 Q74		

WEST	NORTH	EAST	SOUTH
	2C	Р	2D
Р	2H	Р	4H
All Pass			
CLOSED	ROOM		
WEST	NORTH	EAST	SOUTH
	1C	Р	1H
Р	3H	Р	4D
Р	4H	All Pass	
Г	711	All Fass	

ClosedRoom West made a good lead of S3, no more overtricks, Open Room the lead was D10 from East, Declarer easy draw trump dan played C for 11 tricks, 1 imp to ShenZen Ke Duo Man.

Board 18;

,	S. H. D.	Q7 KJ532 KJ87 Q6	
S. J1096 H. Q106 D. 6 C. A5432	w	N E S	S. 854 H. A74 D. Q1092 C. K98
	H. D.	AK32 98 A543 J107	
open ro West P	OM NORTH 1H	EAST P P	SOUTH 1D 1S
p Closed f		All Pass	
WEST	NORTH	EAST P	SOUTH 1D
P P P	1H 2C 3NT	P P All Pass	1S 2NT

Closed R oom 3NT by South, lead SJ, 7,4, K now played H8,6,J,4, now declarer played CQ,K,75 and East play back S5,2,6,Q, still can't make 9 tricks, down 1, supposed at 2nd trick when East failed not to cover H8 and declarer played small H from dummy, he can make this 3NT, there will be Dhampur Sugar will join the SEMIS, because Shenzhen team in the Open Room only stopped in 2NT and made 9 tricks.

Another 6 imps for Shenzhen Ke Duo Man, final result 81 - 74 in favour of Shenzhen team, congratulations.




2007 年	香港城市桥牌锦标赛		1/4 决赛		
1/4 决赛 1	▲ 10864		北		南
第4副	7652		张邦礼	羊	沈佳祥
西/双有	• J1096				1C
. 1/7	♣ 10		1H		2S
▲ K7 ▼ AQJ	N ♠ Q952 ▼ K1043		3H 4C		3NT 4D
 AQJ AK732 	W E 5		4C 5NT		4D 6C
◆ Q54	S 🔹 AKJ8		5111		00
	♠ AJ3	由于引	胀邦祥解	释 5NT	是邀叫南家草花两力
	v 98				项煜担心首攻将牌响
	• Q84	亏, i	先择了首	攻H10,	打牌过程如下:
	♣ 97632	西	-11-	左	南
这是在1/4决制	赛的深圳桥友队vs沈阳圣雨田	H10	北 HA	东 H7	M H5
	桌上打的第一副牌。	H10 H2	HK	HJ	D4
Carlena / Land	And a state of the	D8	D2	D3	DA
西 北	东 南	S2	D6	S4	SA
项煜 张邦祥		S5	D7	S 3	<u>SK</u>
1D —	1H —	DQ	D9	D10	DK
2NT -	3S -				
4H — 5S* —	4NT — 6H —	局势4	□□ 下: 决赛 1		
- =	011	0.0000000	伏女 I 15 副	• Q84	3
		1000000	南北	• -	
沈佳祥首攻草	花,在 SA 上手时再攻草花,			♣ Q76	j
	定约下一。闭室深圳桥友队打		2106	Ν	♦ 87
3NT+2, 深圳	川赢 13IMP。	• 9		w	Е 📜 –
		* 8		s	• J • A932
1/4 决赛 1	• -		4		₩ A332
第15副	¥ AKQ843			• -	
南/南北	• 9762			• 5	
	♣ Q76			🔶 KJ1	05
♣ Q10652	N 🔹 8743				
• 10962	W E J7		来的打牌		-
• Q8	◆ J103	西 61	北	东	南
* 84	S	S6! H6	C6 HQ	DJ C2	<u>D5</u> C5
	• ANJ9 • 5	S10	C7	S7	<u>S9</u>
	• AK54	H9	<u>H3</u>	C3	C10
	♣ KJ105				010

沈佳祥摊牌,正好完成。

这副牌,首攻将牌再继续调将牌是一定要宕 的,但即使不调将,防守也是有机会的。答 案就是在刚才的残局时,庄家出 D5 时,西 家不垫 S6,而是垫红心,那样的话,在庄 家从明手出 HQ 时,东家可以用 C9 将吃, 使得庄家手上那张 C5 再也不能将吃得墩, 西家的 C8 自动升级。

看看全部的四家牌,你可以看出要想完成小 满贯,不能打8张的方块,也不能打看似坚 固的红心,只有草花定约有机会成功。

深圳桥友队这副牌赢 12IMP。



西	北	东	南
项煜	张邦祥	宋召	沈佳祥
—		1C	Х
15	2H	2S	_
4S	—	-	=

张邦祥首攻 HQ, 沈佳祥 HA 大后继续红心, 庄家 HK 赢进。出草花, 张邦祥上 CA 后继 续打红心,希望缩明手将牌, 将草花套费掉。 明手将吃后拉小草花将吃回暗手, 用 S10 调 将, 遗憾地发现北家缺门, 沈佳祥忍让这轮 将牌。

庄家只好改弦易辙,拔掉 DA 后再将吃方块 到明手,出 CK 逼迫沈佳祥小将吃,庄家盖 吃后再方块将吃到明手,意外的发现沈佳祥 的方块是 KQx 三张。最后明手再打 CQ 逼 迫沈佳祥再次小将吃,庄家将吃后仅剩一张 将牌和做大了的 D10,沈佳祥也仅剩最后的 将牌大王 SA 了。

项煜艰难地完成了这个联手仅19点的4S定约。闭室里深圳桥友队仅叫到2S,沈阳圣雨田队赢6IMP。

这副牌沈阳圣雨田队赢 6IMP。

1/4 决赛第1节的16 副牌,深圳平安队43: 32 领先沈阳圣雨田队11 点。



もっとビッドするか、あなたのプレイレベルによるかも

じゅんちえん

前に説明したけど、、現代ブリッジでは、みんなもっともっとビッドするわけだと言いました。 これで勝つか負けるか分からない理由のひとつは、人により違う事ですから。


あなたのプレイは上手だったらもちろん高レベルのコントラクトでも自信を持ってる。プレイ は普通だと、逆に、低レベルのコントラクトでプラススコアを取る事が一番大切ではないかと 思う。

それでも、自分のプレイが弱いなんてだれでも言いたくないので結局だれでも高レベルのコン トラクトへ行ってみる。ただ、現実は、プレイの弱い人がいっぱいおるかもしれない。

もう一つ、運が良い人は高レベルのコントラクトができるとも言える。。。。。

オープンチームの準決勝ですが、激しかった。準決勝のことだから、選手は強いのでプレイが 弱いとは思わない。でも、中国シンセンチーム VS インドチームは 74 VS 74で対戦が終 わったので、ボードを二つ追加して勝負をさせなければならない、それはサドンデスです。サ ドンデスのストレスはご想像よりひどいかもしれない。普通はよくできるけど、サドンデスの 時は集中できないこともある。

一番目は両方でも4♥をプレイして、シンセンチームのほうは1オーバートリックで1 IMPを 獲得した。まだスウィングにならないみたいので二番目はもっとがんばっていた。



このボードで勝負です。

Pritish	Yu	Debasish
		Debasisii
	Pass	1 🔶
1♥	Pass	1 🛳
2 🏶	Pass	2nt
3nt	All Passed	
North	East	South
Dong	Kamal	Zhu
	Pass	1 🔶
1.	Pass	1♠
2nt	All Passed	
	2♣ 3nt North Dong	2♣ Pass 3nt All Passed North East Dong Kamal Pass 1♥ Pass 2nt All



クローズルーム Pritish は絶対ゲームまでいくと思う(?)ので 2&をビッドした。やっと 3NT まで行った。12 点も持つと、多分みんなゲームまでゆけると思う。本当は、パートナーが 11 点のような点数しかないと、ゲームはむずかしいかもしれない。

ここは、もしあなたはゲームが厳しいかなあと思ったら、2NT だけでもよろしいと思う。パー トナーはパスできるビッドです。パートナーはいいハンズを持つと、3NT はビッドしてもらう。 オープンルーム Dong は 2NT だけビッド、やはりパートナーは点数少ないのでパスされた。

スウィングは必ず発生する。どっちが勝つのかまず 3NT のプレイが要素にする。3NT をメイク すると、もう終了になっている。2NT ではどんなに取っても 3NT メイクに勝てない。

Debasish は◆J のリードを見た。すごく緊張したボードなので長く考えていた。

かれは♠Kで勝って、♥8をプレイ。確かに、手から♥をプレイしたいので、♠Kで勝った。

手から2回も♥を出せば、♥A、♥Q オンサイドになったら♥は期待できる。サウスは会一個、 ◆たぶんも一個だけでエントリーは全部で二つしかない。このハンズの場合、♥のため、エン トリーを二つも利用してしまうほうがいいかどうか、どう思います?エキスパートのプレイが あれば是非提案してください。

わたしがやると、エントリー早く使い切ることはこわい、と思うので、エントリーは♥のため に一つだけ使う予定です。こうすると、最初は会Qで勝って♥2をプレイする。また手に戻ると、 ♥9をプレイして♥K か♥Jをプレイするつもりだ。当たれば♥がいけるかも。

Debasish は ★K で勝って、 ♥8-♥J をプレイした、当たるみたいけど、ダークされた。そこで♥ をどうやるかわからなかった。道を迷った。それから &をやった。コントラクトはもう危なく なる。

オープンルームでは、イーストは◆9 をリードしたので会3 個◆3 個で 2NT はほぼメイク、Dong は 9 トリックを取った、+150。

今回は低くビッドするプレイヤーが勝った、中国シンセンチームは決戦に入った。

次からあなたはどうしますか。プレイが強いかたですか。運が良いかたですか。反省しよう。



Equipment for sale (all slightly used)

Bidding boxes (set of 4)	HK\$120
Boards without cards (set of 32)	HK\$180
Boards with bar-coded cards (set of 32)	HK\$420
Bar-coded cards per deck (new)	HK\$8
Old boards with cards (set of 16)	HK\$120
Used bidding trays, limited quantity (for use with screen)	HK\$30

Please order at the Hospitality Desk and collect at 5:00 p.m. on 2 September 2007



PICTURE OF THE DAY!























